

MVP

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2025-00549-JLK

MVP

October 20, 2025

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: US Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) Approved Jurisdictional Determination in accordance with the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’”; (88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) as amended by the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’; Conforming” (8 September 2023) ,¹ MVP-2025-00549-JLK [MFR 1 of 1]²

BACKGROUND. An Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD) is a Corps document stating the presence or absence of waters of the United States on a parcel or a written statement and map identifying the limits of waters of the United States on a parcel. AJDs are clearly designated appealable actions and will include a basis of JD with the document.³ AJDs are case-specific and are typically made in response to a request. AJDs are valid for a period of five years unless new information warrants revision of the determination before the expiration date or a District Engineer has identified, after public notice and comment, that specific geographic areas with rapidly changing environmental conditions merit re-verification on a more frequent basis.⁴

On January 18, 2023, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Department of the Army (“the agencies”) published the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States,’” 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) (“2023 Rule”). On September 8, 2023, the agencies published the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’; Conforming”, which amended the 2023 Rule to conform to the 2023 Supreme Court decision in *Sackett v. EPA*, 598 U.S., 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023) (“*Sackett*”).

This Memorandum for Record (MFR) constitutes the basis of jurisdiction for a Corps AJD as defined in 33 CFR §331.2. For the purposes of this AJD, we have relied on Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (RHA),⁵ the 2023 Rule as amended,

¹ While the Revised Definition of “Waters of the United States”; Conforming had no effect on some categories of waters covered under the CWA, and no effect on any waters covered under RHA, all categories are included in this Memorandum for Record for efficiency.

² When documenting aquatic resources within the review area that are jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act (CWA), use an additional MFR and group the aquatic resources on each MFR based on the TNW, the territorial seas, or interstate water that they are connected to. Be sure to provide an identifier to indicate when there are multiple MFRs associated with a single AJD request (i.e., number them 1, 2, 3, etc.).

³ 33 CFR 331.2.

⁴ Regulatory Guidance Letter 05-02.

⁵ USACE has authority under both Section 9 and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 but for convenience, in this MFR, jurisdiction under RHA will be referred to as Section 10.

MVP

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2025-00549-JLK

as well as other applicable guidance, relevant case law, and longstanding practice in evaluating jurisdiction.

1. SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS.

- a. Provide a list of each individual feature within the review area and the jurisdictional status of each one (i.e., identify whether each feature is/is not a water of the United States and/or a navigable water of the United States).

Name of Aquatic Resource	JD or Non-JD	Section 404/Section 10
Wetland 2	Non-Jurisdictional	None
Wetland 3	Non-Jurisdictional	None
Wetland 4	Non-Jurisdictional	None

2. REFERENCES.

- a. "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States,'" 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) ("2023 Rule")
- b. "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'; Conforming" 88 FR 61964 (September 8, 2023))
- c. *Sackett v. EPA*, 598 U.S. 651, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023)

3. REVIEW AREA.

- a. Project Area Size (in acres): 22.0
 - b. Location Description: The project/review area is located in Section 6, Township 23, Range 21, Brown County, Wisconsin.
 - c. Center Coordinates of the Project Site (in decimal degrees)
Latitude: 44.491610 Longitude: -87.996820
 - d. Nearest City or Town: Green Bay
 - e. County: Brown
 - f. State: Wisconsin
 - g. Other associated Jurisdictional Determinations (including outcomes):
- ## 4. NEAREST TRADITIONAL NAVIGABLE WATER (TNW), THE TERRITORIAL SEAS, OR INTERSTATE WATER TO WHICH THE AQUATIC RESOURCE IS CONNECTED. NA

MVP

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2025-00549-JLK

5. FLOWPATH FROM THE SUBJECT AQUATIC RESOURCES TO A TNW, THE TERRITORIAL SEAS, OR INTERSTATE WATER. NA
6. SECTION 10 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS⁶: Describe aquatic resources or other features within the review area determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. Include the size of each aquatic resource or other feature within the review area and how it was determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10.⁷ N/A
7. SECTION 404 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS: Describe the aquatic resources within the review area that were found to meet the definition of waters of the United States in accordance with the 2023 Rule as amended, consistent with the Supreme Court's decision in *Sackett*. List each aquatic resource separately, by name, consistent with the naming convention used in section 1, above. Include a rationale for each aquatic resource, supporting that the aquatic resource meets the relevant category of "waters of the United States" in the 2023 Rule as amended. The rationale should also include a written description of, or reference to a map in the administrative record that shows, the lateral limits of jurisdiction for each aquatic resource, including how that limit was determined, and incorporate relevant references used. Include the size of each aquatic resource in acres or linear feet and attach and reference related figures as needed. NA
 - a. Traditional Navigable Waters (TNWs) (a)(1)(i): N/A
 - b. The Territorial Seas (a)(1)(ii): N/A
 - c. Interstate Waters (a)(1)(iii): N/A
 - d. Impoundments (a)(2): N/A
 - e. Tributaries (a)(3): N/A
 - f. Adjacent Wetlands (a)(4): N/A

⁶ 33 CFR 329.9(a) A waterbody which was navigable in its natural or improved state, or which was susceptible of reasonable improvement (as discussed in § 329.8(b) of this part) retains its character as "navigable in law" even though it is not presently used for commerce, or is presently incapable of such use because of changed conditions or the presence of obstructions.

⁷ This MFR is not to be used to make a report of findings to support a determination that the water is a navigable water of the United States. The district must follow the procedures outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a determination that water is a navigable water of the United States subject to Section 10 of the RHA.

MVP

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2025-00549-JLK

- g. Additional Waters (a)(5): N/A

8. NON-JURISDICTIONAL AQUATIC RESOURCES AND FEATURES

- a. Describe aquatic resources and other features within the review area identified in the 2023 Rule as amended as not “waters of the United States” even where they otherwise meet the terms of paragraphs (a)(2) through (5). Include the type of excluded aquatic resource or feature, the size of the aquatic resource or feature within the review area and describe how it was determined to meet one of the exclusions listed in 33 CFR 328.3(b).⁸ NA
- b. Describe aquatic resources and features within the review area that were determined to be non-jurisdictional because they do not meet one or more categories of waters of the United States under the 2023 Rule as amended (e.g., tributaries that are non-relatively permanent waters; non-tidal wetlands that do not have a continuous surface connection to a jurisdictional water).

Wetlands 2, 3, and 4 are not TNWs, territorial seas, or interstate waters and therefore are not (a)(1) waters. Topographic maps, Google Earth Pro aerial imagery, and site photos provided in the delineation report, indicate these wetlands are depressional wetlands located in the central/northern portions of the review area. All wetlands are surrounded by uplands involving grassy areas, paved walkways, a baseball field, and residential development to the west/southwest. The East River is located approximately 85 linear feet northeast of Wetland 2 with uplands involving a paved walkway separating the two resources. A review of Lidar data also demonstrates the three wetlands transition to uplands involving the previously mentioned park amenities and development. Wetlands 2, 3, and 4 do not physically abut a relatively permanent paragraph (a)(2) impoundment or a jurisdictional (a)(3) tributary. Therefore, Wetlands 2, 3, and 4 are not jurisdictional under the 2023 Revised Definition of “Waters of the United States”: Conforming” 88 FR 61964 Final Rule.

- 9. DATA SOURCES. List sources of data/information used in making determination. Include titles and dates of sources used and ensure that information referenced is available in the administrative record.
 - a. Office evaluation conducted on October 16, 2025.

⁸ 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023)



MVP

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2025-00549-JLK

- b. Emilie Park, City of Green Bay, Brown County, WI Wetland Delineation Report provided by Cedar Corporation dated July 18, 2024.
- c. National Regulatory Viewer-Lidar Data and Google Earth Pro assessed October 16, 2025.

10. OTHER SUPPORTING INFORMATION. NA

11. NOTE: The structure and format of this MFR were developed in coordination with the EPA and Department of the Army. The MFR's structure and format may be subject to future modification or may be rescinded as needed to implement additional guidance from the agencies; however, the approved jurisdictional determination described herein is a final agency action.




Site Location Map
East River Emilie Park

EMILIE STREET
CITY OF GREEN BAY
BROWN COUNTY, WI

JOB NO.
G5502-007

DATE
6/11/2024


FIGURE
1



Wetland Area 1 = 46,371.01 sq. ft. (1.065 acres)
 Wetland Area 2 = 5,678.31 sq. ft. (0.130 acres)
 Wetland Area 3 = 1,725.54 sq. ft. (0.040 acres)
 Wetland Area 4 = 2,330.81 sq. ft. (0.054 acres)

Total Wetland Area = 56,105.67 sq. ft. (1.288 acres)

Total Site Area = 1,015,238.63 sq. ft. (23.307 acres)



East River Emilie Park

Surveyed Wetland Boundary

0 460 920 Feet

The information on this map is from a computer database accessed using a Geographic Information System (GIS). Cedar Corporation cannot guarantee the accuracy of the information contained on this map. Each user of this map is responsible for determining its suitability for their intended use or purpose.

Legend

- Soil Boring
- Wetland
- Wetline
- Project Location
- Waterway
- Parcels

JOB NO.


G5502-007

DATE


7/11/2024

FIGURE


2




WET MEADOW EMERGENT NATIVE SEED MIX



MESIC/WET MESIC PRAIRIE SEED MIX



MESIC PRAIRIE SEED MIX



BAR IS ONE INCH ON
OFFICIAL DRAWINGS
0 IF NOT ONE INCH ADJUST
SCALE ACCORDINGLY



DATE	NO.	DESCRIPTION
01/10/2025	1	90% DESIGN
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	

DESIGNED BY: EOR

DRAWN BY: TAM

CHECKED BY: MLR

EOR JOB #02048-0001



CLIENT PROJECT #999-999

EAST RIVER EMILIE PARK WETLAND RESTORATION

GREEN BAY, BROWN COUNTY, WISCONSIN

CITY OF GREEN BAY

GREEN BAY, WISCONSIN

LANDSCAPE AND
RESTORATION PLAN

SHEET 23 OF 24